

Adoption of Digital Government Technologies by the Government Organizations in Sri Lanka

Achini Mudalige

Reg. No. MS20909314

M.Sc. in Information Management

Supervisor: Dr. Dasuni Nawinna

December 2021

Dissertation submitted for the fulfilment of the requirement of the Master of Science in Information Management

> Department of Computer Systems Engineering Faculty of Graduate Studies Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology Sri Lanka

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and the same thesis has not previously submitted for any education program in any other university or Institute to the best of my knowledge.

The work was carried out under the guidance of Dr. Dasuni Nawinna, at the Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology.

Att-

Achini Mudalige

06.11.2021

Date

3/12/2021

Date

The above candidate has carried out this research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Dr. Dasuni Nawinna

Assistant Professor

Department of Computer Systems Engineering

Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology

ABSTRACT

Moving towards Digital Government is vital for any country as it enhance the citizens' trust towards the government through transparency in activities by applying good governance principles. As a developing nation, Sri Lanka has already moved forward from E-Government to Digital Government geared up with strengthening Digital Government policies. Government organizations are the focal point of Digital Government. For the nation to be successful in Digital Government the adoption of the Digital Government Technologies by the government organizations is vital. This study will present an assessment model to figure out the adoption level of Sri Lankan government organizations towards the Digital Government Technologies. In developing this model few existing Digital Governments in the world are studied and analyzed in depth and many inputs will be taken from these existing country specific new dimensions in assessing the adoption of the Digital Government Technologies in the Government Organizations.

Key Words: Digital Government, Digital-Government Readiness Assessment (DRA), Ereadiness

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to first give my utmost gratitude to my research supervisor Dr. Dasuni Nawinna for helping and guiding me all along the way. Only with her assistance and encouragement with every activity in the research I had been able to complete the research.

All my lecturers in SLIIT, thank you sir for enriching me with knowledge and memorable two years at SLIIT. Also I thank the non-academic staff of SLIIT for the support they had given to me in the tenure of two years in completing my MSc. A big thank you goes out to my batch mates, especially to Miss Nipuni Sashanka Perera for all the assistance given to me during the hard times.

I wish to thank the members of SLITPA for giving their support in responding to the questionnaire and special thank you goes out to Mr. G. V. D Priyantha in giving me so many ideas in preparing and completing this research.

Finally, I would raise my pen in honor of my parents, family, my two daughters, especially Thaththi without you being there for me all the time I would not have complete this. Sincere appreciation goes out to Mrs. Shanika Ekanayake and Mr. K. H. Munasinghe for being the pillars of my strength in completing my academic period of two years. With their kind dedication and patience, I have been able to complete two successful academic years and complete my MSc.

Thank you!

TABLE OF CONTENT

| DECLARATION | 1 |
|--|----|
| ABSTRACT | 2 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | 3 |
| LIST OF FIGURES | 6 |
| LIST OF TABLES | 7 |
| CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Digital Government | 1 |
| 1.2 Evolution of Digital Government | 3 |
| 1.4 Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.5 Research Questions | 4 |
| 1.6 Research Objectives | 5 |
| 1.7 Scope of the study | 5 |
| 2. CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW | 7 |
| 2.1 Chapter Introduction | 7 |
| 2.2 Digital Computing and it's definitions | 7 |
| 2.3 History of Digital Government | 8 |
| 2.4 E-Government to Digital Government | 12 |
| 2.5 Characteristics of Digital Government and Digital Technologies | 12 |
| 2.6 Evolution of Digital Government in Sri Lanka | 13 |
| 2.5 Adoption of Digital Government Technologies | 17 |
| 2.6 Digital Government Servicers | 18 |
| CHAPTER 3 – RESEARCH DESIGN | 20 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 20 |
| 2.2 Research Methodology | 20 |
| 2.2.1 Data Survey | 20 |
| 3.3 Theory for the study | 22 |
| 3.4 Technology and Adaptation | 22 |
| Figure 5: Carter and Belanger's e-government adoption model | 24 |
| 3.5 Conceptual Framework for the study | 24 |
| 3.6. The hypothesis of the Technical Dimension | 25 |
| 3.7 Perceived Ease of Use | 26 |
| 3.7.1 ICT Infrastructure in adopting Digital Government | 26 |

| Technologies | 26 |
|--|----|
| 3.7.2 Organizational Policies and Perceived Ease of Use | 27 |
| 3.7.3 ICT Staff Capacity and Perceived Ease of Use | 29 |
| 3.7.4 Level of Process Maturity and Perceived Ease of Use | 30 |
| 3.7.5 ICTA Common Access Level and Adoption of Digital Government Technologies | 31 |
| 3.7.6 ICTA Shared Solution Adoption of Digital Government Technologies | 31 |
| 3.7.6 ICTA Foundations and Adoption of Digital Government Technologies | 31 |
| 3.8 Perceived Usefulness | 32 |
| 3.8.1 Productivity and Perceived Usefulness | 32 |
| 3.8.2 Corruption and Perceived Usefulness | 32 |
| 3.8.3 Organization Performance and Perceived Usefulness | 33 |
| 3.9 The hypothesis Literature Validity and Indicator Validity | 34 |
| CHAPTER 4- DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS | 35 |
| 4.1 Measurement Development | 35 |
| 4.2 The Demographic Data | 36 |
| 4.2.1 Gender | 36 |
| 4.1.2 Age | 36 |
| 4.1.2 Education Qualifications | 37 |
| 4.2 Data Analysis | 37 |
| 4.2.1 Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS – SEM) and Smart PLS | 38 |
| 4.2.2 Outer Model Analysis | 38 |
| 4.2.3 Inner Model Analysis | 41 |
| 4.3 Hypothesis Testing | 42 |
| CHAPTER 5 – RESEARCH OUTCOMES AND CONCLUSIONS | 49 |
| 5.1 Discussion | 49 |
| 5.2 Implications | 51 |
| 5.3 Conclusion | 52 |
| 5.4 LIMITATIONS & FUTURE WORK | 53 |
| REFERENCES | 55 |
| APPENDIX – 1: QUETIONNAIRE | 61 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. 1 OECD Digital Government Policy Framework (DGPG) | 2 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2. 1 Evolution of Egovernment | 12 |
| Figure 2. 2 E-Government progress | 15 |
| Figure 2. 3 Sri Lanka Digital Government Architecture | 17 |
| Figure 2. 4 Sri Lanka Digital Government Architecture | 18 |
| Figure 3. 1 Research Model / Conceptual Frame Work | 26 |
| Figure 4. 1 Structural Model | 37 |
| Figure 4. 2 Gender of the respondents | 38 |
| Figure 4. 3 Age of respondants | 38 |
| Figure 4. 4 Education Qualifications | 39 |
| Figure 4. 5 Path Coefficients | 45 |
| Figure 4. 6 Hypothesis testing – Bootstrapping direct effects | 45 |
| Figure 5. 1Research Model Summary | 53 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 E-Government services | 9 |
|--|----|
| Table 2.2 Managerial Implications | 11 |
| Table 2.3 Charateristics of Digital Government | 14 |
| Table 3. 1 Questionniare Constructs | 23 |
| Table 3. 2 Hypothesis Literature Validity and Indicator Validity | 36 |
| Table 4. 1 Data Reliability Analysis results | 40 |
| Table 4. 2 Cross Loading results | 41 |
| Table 4. 3 Average Variance Extracted results | 42 |
| Table 4. 4 HTMT Indicators | 43 |
| Table 4. 5 Path Coefficients results | 43 |
| Table 4. 6 Total Effects | 44 |
| Table 4. 7 Specific Indirect Effects | 44 |
| | |