



An Empirical Evaluation of SQA Practices and Their Effectiveness in Sri Lankan Software Industry

H.M.K. Weerakoon
(MS19801346)
M.Sc. in Information Management

Supervisor: Dr. Nuwan Kodagoda
Head/Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering

December, 2021

(Word Count: 28,374)

**Faculty of Graduate Studies & Research
Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology**

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that to the best of my knowledge, this submission is my own work and it neither contains direct material previously published nor written by another person or material, which to substantial extent, has been accepted for the award of any other academic qualification of a university or other institute of higher learning except where acknowledgement is made in the text.

Certified by

Signature :.....

Date :.....

Name of Supervisor I :.....

Signature :.....

Date :.....

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In these preliminary lines, I would like to express my profound gratitude and deep regards to those who contributed for the success of this thesis, which is the outcome of my M.Sc. Master project research carried out at Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology (SLIIT) over the course period January 2020-December 2021. I would like to express my great gratitude to those who have contributed to the thesis for their understanding, encouragement, and support, because this work would not have been possible without all their valuable day-to-day effort, commitment and dedication.

First and foremost, my special words of appreciation and deepest recognition go to my thesis mentor/supervisor, Dr. Nuwan Kodagoda for his remarkable assistance provided by conducting periodic reviews of research progress in order to make sure that the progress curve of the project does not drop down. I benefited enormously from his invaluable expertise, insightful comments, and excellent supervision. I would also gratefully acknowledge our coordinator, Dr. Janaka Wijekoon for his exemplary guidance, monitoring and constant encouragement to complete the task.

Furthermore, I would also like to express my gratitude to the lecturers of Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology (SLIIT) for providing a solid base of knowledge, which is not only useful for this research, but also for future development in social life and career.

A global thank you to all the individuals who participated in the research surveys giving their time and expertise. The contributions that were made proved to be very valuable in conducting this research study. Finally, a special thank goes to my family and friends for their constant encouragement without which this thesis would not have been a possible.

ABSTRACT

Quality Assurance (QA) refers to the planned activities carried out in a system, so that quality requirements for a product or service will be fulfilled. It is the systematic measurement, comparison with standards, analyzing processes and associated feedbacks focusing on high level of accuracy.

Quality assurance in software is a highly demanding and an emerging area effecting to the success or failure of a software project critically, hence an integral part of project management. The importance of sustaining a positive quality assurance is highly determined by the fact that it builds a proactive management system that reduces the rework amount, leading to low cost and improve productivity. Software Quality Assurance (SQA) domain deals with the quality principles in software engineering development processes. It defines and measures the outputs at different stages of software development process quantifying the quality in terms of defects.

In Sri Lanka software industry is the fifth largest job category according to 2019 ICT Workforce survey by the Information and Communication Technology Agency (ICTA). The objectives of this study are achieved by gathering data by consultation with IT professionals; developers, QA engineers, project managers and analyze them by the use of various statistical techniques such as, percentage analysis, chi-squared analysis and correlation analysis presenting results in suitable hypothesis and relevant interpretation.

The purpose of this empirical evaluation is to explore different SQA practices across a range of IT organizations in Sri Lanka aiming to help these organizations to identify what approaches in eliminating bugs are effective and support finetuning their QA strategies in software development projects by focusing on few of important areas, such as, software testing, quantitative project management, etc. while bridging the gaps identified in various areas of project management relating to software development.

Key words: Software, Quality assurance, Effectiveness, Sri Lanka

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	6
1.3 Research Questions and Objectives	7
1.3.1 Research Questions	7
1.3.2 Research Objectives	7
1.3.3 Hypotheses of The Study	8
1.4 Limitations of The Study	9
1.5 Research Layout and Organization	9
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Literature Introduction	11
2.2 The Empirical Evidences on Software Quality Assurance Practices	11
2.3 The Empirical Evidences on Impact of Various Activities on Software Projects in Software Development Organizations	21
2.3.1 Quantitative Project Management	22
2.3.2 Project Monitoring and Control	23
2.3.3 Requirement Management	25
2.3.4 Configuration Management	27
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	29
3.1 Methodology Introduction	29
3.2 Data	29
3.2.1 Sample Population	29
3.2.2 Sample Size	30
3.2.3 Sampling Technique	31
3.2.4 Data Collection Instruments	32
3.3 Methodology	34
3.3.1 Variables	34
3.3.2 Tools for Data Analysis and Interpretation	35
3.3 Conclusion	36

CHAPTER 4: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	37
4.1 Introduction	37
4.2 Background of The Study	37
4.3 Analysis of Quantitative Data	38
4.3.1 Percentage Analysis	38
4.3.2 Chi-Square Analysis	106
4.3.3 Correlation Analysis	117
4.4 Conclusion.....	119
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION	120
5.1 Introduction	120
5.2 Main Empirical Findings.....	120
5.2.1 Percentage Analysis – Personal & Organizational Factors.....	120
5.3 Discussion	121
5.3.1 Main Objective.....	121
5.3.2 Sub Objective 1	124
5.3.3 Sub Objective 2.....	125
5.3.4 Sub Objective 3.....	126
5.3.5 Hypotheses	129
5.3.6 Conclusion	131
CHAPTER 6: REFERENCES	132
CHAPTER 7: APPENDIX	136
Appendix I: Survey Questionnaire	136
Appendix II: Interview questionnaire – Evaluating effectiveness of SQA processes.....	140

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Various components in software project management	4
Figure 2.1: A model of software testing process	13
Figure 2.2: Software quality characteristics	14
Figure 2.3: How project completion is affected by critical elements	16
Figure 2.4: Categories of SQA challenges	16
Figure 2.5: Characteristic distribution of software defects origin	18
Figure 2.6: SQA process integrated with PMLC and SDLC	21
Figure 2.7: Sample traceability matrix	27
Figure 3.1: Research methodology	36
Figure 4.1: Gender wise distribution of the respondents	39
Figure 4.2: Highest educational status wise distribution of the respondents	40
Figure 4.3: Work domain wise distribution of the respondents	41
Figure 4.4: Overall experience wise distribution of the respondents	42
Figure 4.5: Work domain wise distribution of the respondents	43
Figure 4.6: Association with current organization wise distribution of the respondents	44
Figure 4.7: Organization size distribution of the respondents	45
Figure 4.8: Organization's age distribution of the respondents	46
Figure 4.9: Organization's geographical location distribution of the respondents	47
Figure 4.10: Organization's business domain distribution of the respondents	48
Figure 4.11: Organization's client overview distribution of the respondents	49
Figure 4.12: Organization's supporting business domain distribution of the respondents	50
Figure 4.13: Organization certification distribution of the respondents.....	51
Figure 4.14: Full-time QA engineers working at the organization of the respondents	52
Figure 4.15: QA automation engineers working at the organization of the respondents.....	53
Figure 4.16: Engineer/ developer time spent for developer testing at the organization of the respondents	54
Figure 4.17: Testing approaches of the organization	56
Figure 4.18: Tools used for functional testing for web applications	57
Figure 4.19: Tools used for functional testing for mobile applications.....	58
Figure 4.20: Tools used for load testing	60
Figure 4.21: Tools used for bug tracking	61

Figure 4.22: Satisfaction on bug reporting and tracking	62
Figure 4.23: Satisfaction on finding defects before production	63
Figure 4.24: Satisfaction on time spent on creation of test cases	64
Figure 4.25: Satisfaction on time spent on developer testing	65
Figure 4.26: Satisfaction on functional/ integration testing	66
Figure 4.27: Satisfaction on unit test coverage	67
Figure 4.28: Satisfaction on level of complexity of maintaining test automation	68
Figure 4.29: Satisfaction on time spent on automation scripting	69
Figure 4.30: Agreement on “Our current testing process supports well to maintain a good quality in our developed applications.”	70
Figure 4.31: Agreement on “Test automation is important to increase team efficiency as it helps detecting defects early on during the development phase.”	72
Figure 4.32: Agreement on “Test automation is worth the investment, both time and effort.”	73
Figure 4.33: Agreement on “Developer testing should be done before passing a build to the QA team.”	74
Figure 4.34: Agreement on “It is important for the QA team to be aware of the release and deployment process.”	76
Figure 4.35: Agreement on “The organization should pay more attention towards expansion of automation testing.”	77
Figure 4.36: Agreement on “We rely on users to find too many defects in production.”	79
Figure 4.37: Agreement on “It is concerned that the defects found in production make negative impacts on customer satisfaction.”	80
Figure 4.38: Availability of project level goals/ performance indicators defined for different types of projects in respondents’ organizations	81
Figure 4.39: Frequency of relook of project level goals in respondents’ organizations	82
Figure 4.40: Frequency of root-cause analysis for deviations in project metrics at respondents’ organizations.....	83
Figure 4.41: Implementation of rolling out tool for data driven project management at respondents’ organizations	84
Figure 4.42: Awareness of project managers on statistical process control techniques and their usage at respondents’ organizations	85
Figure 4.43: Implementation of statistical process control techniques by project managers at respondents’ organizations	87

Figure 4.44: Implementation of frequently applying project monitoring and controlling techniques at respondents' organizations	88
Figure 4.45: Various types of project monitoring practices at respondents' organizations	89
Figure 4.46: Levels of project reviews at respondents' organizations	90
Figure 4.47: Availability of formal system of feedback and control for project based on different status reports at respondents' organizations	91
Figure 4.48: Availability of project communication plan/ escalation matrix in project plan at respondents' organizations	92
Figure 4.49: Availability of project communication plan/ escalation matrix in project plan at respondents' organizations	93
Figure 4.50: Consideration of collecting requirements at project planning in respondents' organizations	94
Figure 4.51: Availability of a formal process of how to handle requirement changes at respondents' organizations	95
Figure 4.52: Frequency of revisiting project planning parameters (cost, effort, scheduling) based on requirement changes at respondents' organizations	96
Figure 4.53: Availability of a formal process of how to handle requirement changes at respondents' organizations	97
Figure 4.54: Accurate reporting of effort overrun caused by requirement changes to all relevant stakeholders at respondents' organizations	98
Figure 4.55: Availability of tools for requirement management at respondents' organizations....	99
Figure 4.56: Availability of organizational level guidelines for identification of documents and records to be kept under configuration control	100
Figure 4.57: Availability of tools for configuration management at respondents' organizations	101
Figure 4.58: Types of artifacts identified in configuration management at respondents' organizations	102
Figure 4.59: Practice of mandatory conduct of impact analysis in case of requirement changes at respondents' organizations	103
Figure 4.60: Practice of regular configuration management audits at respondents' organizations	104
Figure 4.61: Availability of defined organizational process to handle change requests and releases at respondents' organizations	105

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Classification on software development organizations in Sri Lanka based on number of employees.....	31
Table 3.2: Sampling population with regards to the classification of software organizations in Sri Lanka	32
Table 4.1: Gender wise distribution of the respondents	39
Table 4.2: Highest educational status wise distribution of the respondents	40
Table 4.3: Work domain wise distribution of the respondents	41
Table 4.4: Overall experience status wise distribution of the respondents	42
Table 4.5: Number of organizations worked distribution of the respondents	43
Table 4.6: Association with current organization wise distribution of the respondents	44
Table 4.7: Organization size distribution of the respondents	45
Table 4.8: Organization's age distribution of the respondents	46
Table 4.9: Organization's geographical location distribution of the respondents	47
Table 4.10: Organization's business domain distribution of the respondents	48
Table 4.11: Organization's client overview distribution of the respondents	49
Table 4.12: Organization's supporting business domain distribution of the respondents	50
Table 4.13: Organization certification distribution of the respondents	51
Table 4.14: Full-time QA engineers working at the organization of the respondents	52
Table 4.15: QA automation engineers working at the organization of the respondents	53
Table 4.16: Engineer/ developer time spent for developer testing at the organization of the respondents	54
Table 4.17: Testing approaches of the organization	55
Table 4.18: Tools used for functional testing for web applications	57
Table 4.19: Tools used for functional testing for mobile applications	58
Table 4.20: Tools used for load testing	59
Table 4.21: Tools used for bug tracking	61

Table 4.22: Satisfaction on bug reporting and tracking	62
Table 4.23: Satisfaction on finding defects before production	63
Table 4.24: Satisfaction on time spent on creation of test cases	64
Table 4.25: Satisfaction on time spent on developer testing	65
Table 4.26: Satisfaction on functional/ integration testing	66
Table 4.27: Satisfaction on unit test coverage	67
Table 4.28: Satisfaction on level of complexity of maintaining test automation	68
Table 4.29: Satisfaction on time spent on automation scripting	69
Table 4.30: Agreement on “Our current testing process supports well to maintain a good quality in our developed applications.”	70
Table 4.31: Agreement on “Test automation is important to increase team efficiency as it helps detecting defects early on during the development phase.”	71
Table 4.32: Agreement on “Test automation is worth the investment, both time and effort.”	73
Table 4.33: Agreement on “Developer testing should be done before passing a build to the QA team.”	74
Table 4.34: Agreement on “It is important for the QA team to be aware of the release and deployment process.”	75
Table 4.35: Agreement on “The organization should pay more attention towards expansion of automation testing.”	77
Table 4.36: Agreement on “We rely on users to find too many defects in production.”	78
Table 4.37: Agreement on “It is concerned that the defects found in production make negative impacts on customer satisfaction.”	80
Table 4.38: Availability of project level goals/ performance indicators defined for different types of projects in respondents’ organizations	81
Table 4.39: Frequency of relook of project level goals in respondents’ organizations	82
Table 4.40: Frequency of root-cause analysis for deviations in project metrics at respondents’ organizations	83
Table 4.41: Implementation of rolling out tool for data driven project management at respondents’ organizations	84
Table 4.42: Awareness of project managers on statistical process control techniques and their usage at respondents’ organizations	85

Table 4.43: Implementation of statistical process control techniques by project managers at respondents' organizations	86
Table 4.44: Implementation of frequently applying project monitoring and controlling techniques at respondents' organizations	88
Table 4.45: Various types of project monitoring practices at respondents' organizations.....	89
Table 4.46: Levels of project reviews at respondents' organizations	90
Table 4.47: Availability of formal system of feedback and control for project based on different status reports at respondents' organizations	91
Table 4.48: Availability of project communication plan/ escalation matrix in project plan at respondents' organizations	92
Table 4.49: Availability of project communication plan/ escalation matrix in project plan at respondents' organizations	93
Table 4.50: Consideration of collecting requirements at project planning in respondents' organizations	94
Table 4.51: Availability of a formal process of how to handle requirement changes at respondents' organizations	95
Table 4.52: Frequency of revisiting project planning parameters (cost, effort, scheduling) based on requirement changes at respondents' organizations	96
Table 4.53: Availability of a formal process of how to handle requirement changes at respondents' organizations	97
Table 4.54: Accurate reporting of effort overrun caused by requirement changes to all relevant stakeholders at respondents' organizations	98
Table 4.55: Availability of tools for requirement management at respondents' organizations.....	99
Table 4.56: Availability of organizational level guidelines for identification of documents and records to be kept under configuration control	100
Table 4.57: Availability of tools for configuration management at respondents' organizations	101
Table 4.58: Types of artifacts identified in configuration management at respondents' organizations	102
Table 4.59: Practice of mandatory conduct of impact analysis in case of requirement changes at respondents' organizations	103
Table 4.60: Practice of regular configuration management audits at respondents' organizations	104

Table 4.61: Availability of defined organizational process to handle change requests and releases at respondents' organizations	105
Table 4.62: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and availability of project level goals indicators	108
Table 4.63: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and implementation of rolling-out tools for data driven project management	109
Table 4.64: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and implementation of statistical process control techniques	110
Table 4.65: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and availability of feedbacks and control system for projects	111
Table 4.66: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and implementation of tools for project monitoring & control	112
Table 4.67: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and availability of formal process of how to handle requirement changes	113
Table 4.68: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and availability of tools for requirement management	114
Table 4.69: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and types of artifacts in configuration management	115
Table 4.70: Chi-square values- Personal and organizational factors and availability of defined organizational process to handle change requests and releases	116
Table 4.71: Correlation matrix	118

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CMMI	Capability Maturity Model Integration
ICTA	Information and Communication Technology Agency
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IT	Information Technology
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
QA	Quality Assurance
SaaS	Software as a Service
SDLC	Software Development Life Cycle
SCM	Software Configuration Management
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SQA	Software Quality Assurance
QMS	Quality Management Systems
USD	United States Dollar
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure