



# **Cryptographic Issues and Vulnerabilities in Web Applications**

**H M P Kavinda Ranjan Kumara Herath**

**(Reg-No: MS20911058)**

**M.Sc. in IT**

**Specialized in Cyber Security**

**Supervisor: Dr. Lakmal / Ms. Chethana**

**December 2021**

**Secure Your World with Minimal Risk**

**Department of Information Technology**

**Faculty of Graduate & Reach**

**Sri Lanka Institute Of Information Technology**

*Dedicated*  
*To*  
*My Beloved Parents*  
*And*  
*Son Jalan RukmaHerath*

## DECLARATION

Here I declare my thesis of the research project work titled **“CRYPTOGRAPHIC ISSUES AND VULNERABILITIES IN WEB APPLICATIONS”** which was prepared submitted to the **Faculty Of Graduate & Research, Sri Lanka Institute Of Information Technology**, in the essential part of the requirements for the award of the **Master of Science in Information Technology Specializing in Cyber Security**, is a bonafide report of the work carried out me. The material contained in this Report has not been submitted to any University or Institution for the award of any degree.

H M P Kavinda Ranjan Kumara Herath Reg-No: MS20911058

.....

## **CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL**

The undersigned certify that the thesis entitled submitted to the Faculty Of Graduate & Research in partial fulfillment of requirement for the Master of Science in Information Technology Specializing in Cyber Security. The project was carried out under special supervision and within the time frame prescribed by the syllabus. As per the individual evaluation of the students that we pursued their dedication, hardworking, bonafide and ready to undertake any challenges appropriate commercial and industrial work related to their field of study and hence we recommend the award of Master of Science in Information Technology Specializing in Cyber Security.

1. ....

(Project Supervisor)

2. ....

(External Examiner)

3. ....

(Head)

Master of Science in Information Technology Specializing in Cyber Security

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The theme which was selected for my final project is quite challenging to narrate due to the subject matters quite new for the industry. I highly Appreciate Dr, Lakmal for being given his full support to select such a challenging topic to explore means of cyber security discipline indeed. Writing in this dissertation quit challenge due to the lack of previous attempts and new to the field of research. I highly appreciate each individual including with my colleges for your guideline which was given to me for accomplishment of the goal without further dragging.

This dissertation looks like a small portion of the single booklet however it could be contributed by several faculty members even though some of them are supported invisibly. My special appreciation goes to my mentor Dr. Lakmal and Ms. Chethana. With their contribution might not be carried out such work within the correct time. I will take this opportunity to express my gratitude to every individual who has given their fullest support for my achievement of this review report throughout our Master of Cyber Security program.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude with a deep appreciation for my family members for their unforgettable involvement and perpetual encouragement throughout the Master program.

H M P Kavinda Ranjan Kumara Herath Reg-No: MS20911058

**ABSTRACT:**

Web application security is the most controversial and crucial factor to be concentrated on considering the security aspect of cyberspace. Cryptography takes critical parts of security by implementing encryption and decryption phenomena on data at rest, in moving, and in use to be protected the security breaches. Cryptographic concepts had developed over the last few decades as a result of well-known series of mathematical and logical functions. Weakness of poor programming techniques or leakiness of traditional software development life cycles is a crucial element of the security vulnerabilities that can be a huge impact on several web applications which are currently in existence.

The cryptographic vulnerabilities of the web application would depend on several factors such as lack of knowledge on particular subject matters of cryptography, least privilege and contribution of security techniques while coding, unable to proceed with proper standardized vulnerability assessment criteria, the improper adaptation of cryptographic concepts, unable to intended with high secure framework like DevSecOps, depend on the procedures rather than empirical approaches, etc. Sophisticated tools and techniques are necessary factors of driving through the rectification and mitigation of the security vulnerabilities that exist in the web applications whereas implementation process, testing and monitoring of the System Development Life Cycle. This dissertation emphasized indeed a further illustration of cryptographic vulnerability assessment in several specimens collected from different domains from enterprise web applications and related APIs (Application Protocol Interface) currently established. The tools are the critical elements used to evaluate errors on the codes whereas statistical or dynamic analysis. Static tools are given in high percentage of accuracy of the results whereas automated tools are well suited for mega scripting projects such as millions of code evaluated for errors. Java-based code scripting has been dominated still among the huge percentage of the web sources. Python will be established gradually due to the high inbuilt security system on it. Java and Python are the programming languages still being dominated of existence to discuss in the cryptographic vulnerabilities on the process of web application developments. The ultimate goal of this dissertation could be retain valuable sources of documents enriched with sophisticated technics to be used a reference guide for the developers and the security engineers to fulfilled their gaps between code and security requirements.

**Keywords: Application Protocol Interface, Cryptographic Vulnerability, DevSecOps, Dynamic Analysis, Statistical Analysis System Development Life Cycle**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover Page .....	i
Dedication .....	ii
Declaration .....	iii
Certificate of approval .....	iv
Acknowledgement .....	v
Abstract .....	vi
Table of Contents .....	vii
List of Figures .....	viii
List of Tables .....	xii
Chapter 1: Introduction .....	1
1.1 Background Introduction .....	3
1.2 Other related topics or terms .....	4
1.2.1 Cryptography .....	4
1.2.2 New Definition of Cryptography .....	5
1.2.3 Encryption technology .....	5
1.2.4 Algorithm .....	7
1.2.5 Cryptographic Vulnerabilities .....	8
1.2.6 Cryptographic Domain .....	8
1.3 Motivation .....	9
1.4 Problem Definition and Research Questions .....	9
1.4.1 Problem Definition .....	9
1.4.2 Research Questions .....	10
1.5 Goals and Objectives .....	11
1.6 Scope and Applications .....	11
Chapter 2: Literature Review .....	12
2.1 Abstract .....	12
2.2 Introduction .....	13
2.3 Objectives .....	14
2.4 Method .....	14
2.5 Standard Framework .....	15
2.5.1 Investigation Frameworks .....	15
2.5.2 Bayesian Framework .....	16
2.5.3 Monotone Framework .....	16
2.5.4 Javascript Application Frameworks .....	16
2.6 Elements Related to Cryptographic in Web Applications .....	17
2.6.1 W3c Web Cryptography APIs .....	17
2.6.2 TLS/SSL Security .....	18

2.7	Tools & Techniques .....	18
2.7.1	CrySL .....	19
2.7.2	CryptoGuard .....	21
2.7.3	FixDroid .....	21
2.7.4	CogniCrypt .....	23
2.7.5	CryptoLint .....	24
2.7.6	Slicing Techniques .....	24
2.8	Protocols Related To Web Application .....	25
2.9	Security Breaches .....	27
2.9.1	Internet Protocol Security .....	27
2.9.2	IPSec Protocol .....	27
2.9.3	IPSec VPN .....	28
2.9.4	IPSec Protocol .....	28
2.10	Security Breaches .....	29
2.11	Penetration Testing for Cryptographic Issues and Vulnerabilities .....	29
2.12	Introduction to Bug Bounty .....	30
2.13	Standard to follow .....	31
2.14	Conclusion .....	31
Chapter 3:	Requirement Analysis .....	33
3.1	Activates of requirement Analysis .....	33
3.2	Requirement Analysis Techniques .....	34
3.2.1	Software and Hardware Requirement Specifications .....	34
3.2.2	Technical Feasibility .....	34
3.2.3	CryptoGuard .....	35
3.3	Further More on Feasibility study .....	35
3.4	Required Facilities .....	36
3.4.1	Budget .....	36
3.5	Known about the attack vectors and the countermeasures .....	37
Chapter 4:	System Design and Architecture .....	39
4.1	Type of study .....	39
4.2	Data collection method and research instruments .....	39
4.3	Sampling Technique .....	40
4.3.1	Intended data analysis techniques .....	40
Chapter 5:	Methodology .....	42
5.1	Penetration Testing .....	42
5.1.1	Penetration Testing Fundermentals .....	43
5.2	Penetration Testing Stages .....	44
5.2.1	Pre-Engagement Phase .....	45
5.2.2	Information-Gathering Phase .....	45



- 5.2.3 Vulnerability Analysis Phase .....45
- 5.2.4 Reporting Phase .....45
- 5.3 Vulnerability Assessment And Penetration Testing Tool .....47
  - 5.3.1 Testing Tools & Common Vulnerabilities .....47
  - 5.3.2 Cryptographic Vulnerability Testing Tools .....48
- 5.4 Bug Bounty Practices .....48
  - 5.4.1 Bug Bounty Process .....50
  - 5.4.2 Rewards .....51
  - 5.4.3 Kali Linux for bug bounty .....52
- 5.5 Common Cryptographic Vulnerabilities .....53
  - 5.5.1 Brute Force Attack .....53
- Chapter 6: Implementation Details .....50
  - 6.1 Finding Vulnerabilities from CryptoGuard .....55
  - 6.2 Java Build Tools .....56
  - 6.3 Scanning Enhancement .....57
  - 6.4 Java File .....54
  - 6.5 Java Class file.....60
  - 6.6 Schema Design .....61
  - 6.7 Python Cryptographic Vulnerabilities .....62
  - 6.8 Vulnerability Detection Methods .....63
    - 6.8.1 Cryptographic Vulnerability .....63
    - 6.8.2 Detection Methods .....63
- Chapter 7: Result and Analysis .....66
  - 7.1 Insufficiently protected credentials .....66
  - 7.2 Recommendations .....68
  - 7.3 Weak Keys vulnerability .....69
  - 7.4 FPGA .....70
    - 7.4.1 Field-Programmable Gate Array .....71
  - 7.5 Cryptographic common vulnerabilities .....72
  - 7.6 False positives .....74
- Chapter 8: Conclusion and Future Work .....76
  - 8.1 Conclusion .....76
  - 8.2 Limitation .....77
  - 8.3 Future enhancement .....77
  - 8.4 Future Implementation Suggestion .....79
- References .....81
- Appendix .....85

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.1 Security and Vulnerability Risk .....3

Figure 1.2 Proposed security architecture of Security Operation Center.....3

Figure 2.1 Public key signature .....17

Figure 2.2 Vulnerability in coding .....20

Figure 2.3 (a) encryption of AES (b) Graph of data dependency for key Bytes .....20

Figure 2.4 the cryptographic key with in a hard-coded string .....22

Figure 2.5 insecure code detection from FixDroid .....22

Figure 2.6 suggestions to figure out the issue .....22

Figure 2.7 HTTPS upgrade as per the suggestion .....23

Figure 2.8 Architecture of FixDroid .....23

Figure 2.9 the process of code generation of CogniCript .....24

Figure 2.10 SSL and TLS development process .....26

Figure 3.1 security in depth for general for whole criteria .....37

Figure 5.1 Vulnerability Assessment and Penetration Testing Life cycle .....46

Figure 5.2 Penetration testing lab requirements .....46

Figure 5.3 Bug Bounty Variants .....50

Figure 5.4 Bug Bounty Cycle .....51

Figure 5.5 CFRF attack .....54

Figure 6.1 Cryptographic misuse detection from CriptoGusrd .....55

Figure 6.2 the flow of Scanning .....56

Figure 6.3 Expansion of source scanning Limited usage of Soot .....57

Figure 6.4 Methodologies of Java preparation to Soot .....58

Figure 6.5 Limited usage of Soot .....58

Figure 6.6 Method of Java Class Loading .....55

Figure 6.7 Retrieving qualified path for Java file .....59

Figure 6.8 Create soot environment in Java Class File .....60

Figure 6.9 From the Java class file retrieving fully qualified path .....61

Figure 6.10 Vulnerabilities and packages over years .....62

Figure 6.11 Vulnerabilities over Years in high, medium and low .....63

Figure 6.12 Type of Vulnerabilities according to Industrial Control System .....64

Figure 7.1 Users password change .....66

Figure 7.2 Read the value of password in config.properties .....67

Figure 7.3 Read the value of password in registry key .....67

Figure 7.4 verify the password from compress version .....67

Figure 7.5 RSA Key vulnerability .....69

Figure 7.6 Secure system of FPGA – model one .....71

Figure 7.7 Secure system of FPGA – model two .....71

Figure 7.8 Secure system of FPGA – model three .....72

Figure 7.9 Secure & Insecure source .....72

Figure 7.10 API URL .....73

Figure 7.11 Predictable number generation .....73

Figure 7.12 Insecure algorithms .....73

Figure 7.13 Insecure cipher algorithms .....73

Figure 7.14 Source of false positives .....74

Figure 7.15 Programming idioms .....74

Figure 8.1 most wanted languages .....78

Figure 8.2 Web Frameworks .....78

Figure 8.3 Proposed KSOC FPGA Security Cloud based solution .....80

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.1 encryption algorithms .....6

Table 1.2 Algorithm Comparison .....7

Table 2.1 High, Medium, and Low level of risk of cryptographic vulnerabilities .....25

Table 2.2 different approaches of network security protocols .....26

Table 2.3 Secure and insecure threat models .....29

Table 2.4 ITIL, COBIT and ISO/IEC27002 .....31

Table 3.1 Required Budget for the entire project .....36

Table 5.1 Testing Tools and Common Vulnerabilities .....47

Table 5.2 Cryptographic Vulnerability Testing Tools .....48

Table 6.1 Common vulnerabilities in Python .....61

Table 6.2 Vulnerabilities, attack type and cryptographic properties.....64

Table 6.3 CWE report on cryptographic vulnerabilities test cases .....64

Table 6.4 CRYPTOAPI-BENCH Comparison .....65

Table 7.1 NIST Cryptographic Algorithm recommendation .....68

Table 7.2 Strong and weak keys in some countries .....69

Table 7.3 50 most repeated SSH Keys .....70

Table 7.4 Diplomat Great Accuracy Value .....75