



Evaluation of Antibacterial Activity of Rhinacanthus Species in Sri Lanka

<sup>1</sup>Yamuna Somaratne, <sup>2</sup>Manori Perera, <sup>\*</sup> <sup>3</sup>Renuka Karunagoda

- <sup>1,2</sup>Department of Agricultural Biology, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka
- \*<sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo ON N2K 0C8, Canada

Email of Corresponding Author -\*rkarunagoda@wlu.ca

### **ARTICLE INFO**

Article History: Received: 10 September 2023 Accepted: 01 November 2023

### **Keywords:**

Rhinacanthus nasutus; Rhinacanthus polonnaruwensis; antibacterial activity; Gram-positive bacteria; Gram-negative bacteria

# Citation:

Yamuna Somaratne, Manori Perera, Renuka Karunagoda. (2023). Evaluation of Antibacterial Activity of Rhinacanthus Species in Sri Lanka. Proceedings of SLIIT International Conference on Advancements in Sciences and Humanities, 1-2 December, Colombo, pages 404-408.

# ABSTRACT

Rhinacanthus nasutus (L.) Kurz is a valuable medicinal plant belonging to the family Acanthaceae that has many applications in the Ayurvedic system of medicine in Sri Lanka. Rhinacanthus polonnaruwensis Cramer is a more recently discovered species endemic to Sri Lanka, but its medicinal properties have not been recorded so far. The objective of the present study was to screen the antibacterial activity of leaf extracts of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis against clinically isolated Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The study was carried out on six bacterial species, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, S. saprophyticus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella typhi and Shigella flexi. Polar extracts of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis were obtained by grinding the leaves with sterilized water and boiling the leaves in distilled water to obtain a decoction. A decoction of the concentration of 0.2 ml/ml of R. nasutus inhibited growth of all standard Gram-positive bacteria; Staphylococcus aureus and MRSA, whereas 0.2 ml/ml of decoction of R. polonnaruwensis inhibited growth of Staphylococcus aureus. Clinically isolated Staphylococcus saprophyticus was inhibited by both decoctions of Rhinacanthus species. None of the tested concentrations of the this study, we demonstrated that the leaf extracts of both R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis were effective at inhibiting Gram-positive bacteria. 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS However, the decoction of R. nasutus was found to be more effective against the tested Grampositive bacteria than R. polonnaruwensis.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The potential benefits of natural plant extracts have received attention in recent years, encouraging the development of natural products that effectively treat various diseases. Rhinacanthus Four bacterial strains; Staphylococcus aureus belongs to the family Acanthaceae. There are two known species of *Rhinacanthus* used for medicinal purposes in Sri Lanka, R. nasutus (L.) Kurz and R. polonnaruwensis Cramer. Rhinacanthus nasutus is native to India, and widely distributed and cultivated in India, Madagascar, South China, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, and Thailand (Siripong et al., 2006). Rhinacanthus nasutus is used as a traditional medicinal plant for thousands of years in the Ayurvedic system of medicine. Various parts of this plant have been used for the treatment of various diseases (Perry, 1980). It has been reported that rhinacanthin-C, rhinacanthin-D and rhinacanthin-N isolated from R. nasutus possessed antifungal, antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic, hemorrhoid and various types of cancers (Puttarak, et al., 2010). Rhinacanthus polonnaruwensis Cramer was described more recently, and is native to Sri Lanka (Cramer, 1990), and found mostly in the Polonnaruwa district. Though it has been well documented about the antibacterial activity of R. nasutus, there are no such records about R. polonnaruwensis. Further, there are no studies on the investigation of the antibacterial properties of polar extracts of Rhinacanthus species found in Sri Lanka. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the antibacterial

two Rhinacanthus species inhibited the growth properties of polar extracts of R. nasutus and of any Gram-negative bacteria; Pseudomonas R. polonnaruwensis grown in Sri Lanka and aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, and Shigella flexi. In investigate the possibility of their application for medicinal purposes.

Leaf extracts of *R. nasutus* and *R. polonnaruwensis* were prepared by two methods. The aqueous preparations were obtained by grinding 12g of fresh leaves in 7 ml of sterilized water. The decoctions were prepared by boiling of 12g of fresh leaves in 200 ml of distilled water and concentrating to 25 ml.

ATCC 25923, Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 6571, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) 106 and Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) 112, were used to assess the antibacterial properties of the aqueous extracts of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis. Staphylococcus ATCC 25923 aureus and Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 6571 were used as the controls. These two strains are commonly used as a control strain for susceptibility testing to antibiotics, and they are sensitive to a variety of antibiotics, including methicillin (Treangen et al., 2014).

The decoctions and aqueous extracts of of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis were tested for antibacterial properties using the two control bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 6571), four standard bacteria (Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) 106 and Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) 112), Pseudomonas aeruginosa NCTC 10662, Escherichia coli), and five clinically isolated bacteria from wounds of patients from the National Hospital, Peradeniya (coagulase-negative **Staphylococcus** aureus, Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Shigella flexi,

# 111 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ESBL 114).

The antibacterial activity of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis was determined by the agar dilution method, using two different concentrations of aqueous extracts (0.05 ml/ml and 0.1 ml/ml) and three different concentrations of decoctions (0.05 ml/ml, 0.1 ml/ml and 0.2 ml/ ml). For the preparation of 0.05 ml/ml and 0.1 ml/ ml of aqueous extracts, 1 ml and 2ml of Muller-Hinton agar (Muller and Hinton, 1941) were removed respectively from a total volume of 25 ml, and equal volumes of the aqueous extracts were added. For the preparation of 0.05 ml/ml, 0.1 ml/ ml and 0.2 ml/ml of the decoction concentrations, 1 ml, 2ml and 4 ml of Muller-Hinton agar (Muller and Hinton, 1941) were removed respectively from a total volume of 25 ml, and equal volumes of the decoctions were added. The agar media were poured into petri dishes and kept few minutes to settle. The inocula were prepared by adding 0.5 ml of direct colony suspension equivalent to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards (McFarland, 1907) and 4.5 ml of distilled water to yield 1:10 dilution. Each type of bacteria was spotted on labeled petri dishes, and the petri dishes were incubated overnight at 37°C. Each assay was replicated six times and repeated twice.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The antibacterial activity of the aqueous extracts of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis is shown in Table 1. The aqueous extracts did not show any significant activity against the tested bacteria. Therefore, we suggest that the aqueous extracts of 0.05 ml/ml and 0.1 ml/ml of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis were ineffective against Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 6571, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, MRSA 106 and MRSA 112 bacteria.

Salmonella typhi, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ESBL Table 1. Antibacterial activity of aqueous extracts of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis

Bacterial strain	R. nasutus			olon- wensis	Control	
	0.05 ml/ml	0.1 ml/ml	0.05 ml/ml	0.1 ml/ml		
Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 6571	+	+	+	+	+	
Staphylococcus au- reus ATCC 25923	+	+	+	+	+	
MRSA 106	+	+	+	+	+	
MRSA 112	+	+	+	+	+	

+ denotes presence of colonies

denotes absence of colonies.

Previous studies have shown that *n*-hexane and chloroform extracts of roots and *n*-hexane extract of leaves showed potent antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria (Munavaar et al, 2004, Siripong *et al*, 2006), whereas the aqueous extracts of all parts, methanolic extracts of stems and leaves as well as 85% ethanolic extract of stems were inactive. None of the extracts showed activity against Gram-negative bacteria (Siripong et al, 2006). It can be suggested that the extraction of bioactive compounds in R. nasutus requires non-polar solutions instead of polar solutions such as methanol, water, ethyl acetate or ethanol.

To investigate the antibacterial activity of the decoctions, we included an additional higher concentration (0.2 ml/ml) as the aqueous extractions at 0.05 ml/ml and 0.1 ml/ml concentrations of the two species did not inhibit the growth of any of the tested Gram-positive bacteria except Staphylococcus saprophyticus and Staphylococcus aureus NCTC at the concentration of 0.1 ml/ml (Table 2).

Table 2. The antibacterial activity of the decoctions + denotes presence of colonies of *R. nasutus* and *R. polonnaruwensis* against - denotes absence of colonies. standard and clinically isolated bacterial strains.

	R. nasutus			R. polonnaru- wensis			
Bacterial strain	0.05 ml/ml	0.1 ml/ml	0.2 ml/ml	0.05 ml/ml	0.1 ml/ml	0.2 ml/ml	Contro
A- Coagulant-nega- tive Staphylococcus aureus (clinically isolated, Gram-pos- itive)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
B- Staphylococ- cus saprophyticus (clinically isolated, Gram-positive)	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
C- Shigella flexi (clinically isolated, Gram-negative)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
D- Pseudomonas aeruginosa (clinically isolated, Gram-neg- ative)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
E- <i>Salmonella typhi</i> (clinically isolated, Gram-negative)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
F- Pseudomonas aeruginosa ESBL 111 (clinically isolated, Gram-negative)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
G- Pseudomonas aeruginosa NCTC 10662 (standard Gram-negative)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
H- <i>Escherichia coli</i> (standard, Gram- negative)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
I- <i>Pseudomonas</i> <i>aeruginosa</i> ESBL 114 (clinically isolated, Gram- negative)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
J- Staphylococ- cus aureus NCTC 6571 (standard, Gram-positive)	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
K- Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 (standard Gram-pos- itive)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
L- MRSA 106 (stan- dard Gram-positive)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
M- MRSA 112 (stan- dard Gram-positive)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+

The results presented in the Table 2 demonstrate that the decoctions obtained from the leaves of both R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis showed potent antibacterial activity towards all standard Gram-positive bacteria at the concentration of 0.2 ml/ml. Rhinacanthus polonnaruwensis did not show such remarkably potent antibacterial activity. It inhibited the growth of standard Gram-positive Staphylococcus aureus NCTC and clinically isolated Gram-positive 6571 Staphylococcus saprophyticus at 0.2 ml/ml. The growth of the same two bacterial strains were inhibited by the decoction of *R. nasutus* at a lower concentration (0.1 ml/ml). Neither R. nasutus nor R. polonnaruwensis was active against any Gramnegative bacteria tested. It could be hypothesized that this difference of the susceptibility of Gram positive and Gram-negative bacteria towards Rhinacanthus extracts may be due to the differences of their cell wall structure. Further studies will be required to test this hypothesis.

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

Both R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis leaf extracts possess antibacterial properties and effective against the Gram-positive bacteria, Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 6571, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, MRSA 106 and MRSA 112. However, none of the tested concentrations inhibited the growth of any tested Gramnegative bacteria, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella typhi and Shigella flexi. Out of the two Rhinacanthus species, R. nasutus has the highest antibacterial activity. The leaf extracts taken by boiling the leaves of R. nasutus and R. polonnaruwensis in water (decoctions) were effective against Staphylococcus saprophyticus isolates taken from the wounds of patients having skin diseases. These two medicinal plant species can be potentially used in the preparation of herbal medicines for skin wounds caused by *Staphylococcus saprophyticus.* 

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful for the support provided by the academic and non-academic staff at the Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Peradeniya. We also appreciate the funds that Peradeniya University Research Grants to conduct this research.

### REFERENCES

- Cramer, L.H. (1990) Flora of the Polonnaruwa District. Journal of National Science. Council, Sri Lanka, 18(2), 168-170
- McFarland J. (1907). Nephelometer: an instrument for media used for estimating the number of bacteria in suspensions used for calculating the opsonic index and for vaccines. *Journal of American Medical Assoc*iation, *14*, 1176-1178.
- Mueller, J. H., Hinton, J. (1941). A proteinfree medium for primary isolation of the *Gonococcus* and *Meningococcus*. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*. 48 (1), 330–333.
- Munavaar, A.S., Abdullah, N.A., Abdul, H.K. and Noor, A.M. (2004). Antifungal and antibacterial activity of local plant, *Rhinacanthus nasutus* L. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, *4*, 498-500.
- Perry, L.M. (1980). *Medicinal plants of East and Southeast Asia: Attributed properties and uses*. Cambridge, Massachusetts and London: The MIT Press, p:5.
- Puttarak, P., Charoonratana, T., and Panichayupakaranant, P. (2010). Antimicrobial activity and stability of

rhinacanthins-rich *Rhinacanthus nasutus* extract. *Phytomedicine*, *17*(5), 323-327.

- Siripong, P., Wongseri V., Piyaviriyakul, S., Yahaufai,
  J., Chanpai, R. and Kanokmedakul,
  K. (2006). Antibacterial potential of *Rhinacanthus nasutus* against clinically isolated bacteria from Thai cancer patients. *Mahidol University Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 33: 15-22.
- Treangen, T. J., Maybank, R. A., Enke, S., Friss, M.
  B., Diviak, L. F., R. Karaolis, D. K., Koren,
  S., Ondov, B., Phillippy, A. M., Bergman,
  N. H., & Rosovitz, M. J. (2014). Complete
  genome sequence of the quality
  control strain *Staphylococcus aureus*subsp. *aureus* ATCC 25923. *Genome*Announcements, 2(6).