



# **TriML- XAI - Predictive Model For Pre-Hospital Triage With Transparency**

Isuru Madurangee Uyanage  
MS20905286

A THESIS  
SUBMITTED TO  
SRI LANKA INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  
IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE DEGREE OF  
MASTER OF SCIENCE IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

December 2024

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.



---

Prof. Anuradha Jayakody

Approved for MSc. Research Project:



---

MSc. Programme Co-ordinator, SLIIT


Approved for MSc:

---

Head of Graduate Studies, FoC, SLIIT

# DECLARATION

This is to certify that the work is entirely my own and not of any other person, unless explicitly acknowledged (including citation of published and unpublished sources). The work has not previously been submitted in any form to the Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology or to any other institution for assessment for any other purpose.

Sign: .....  .....  
Isuru Madurangee Uyanage

Date: .....2024-11-14.....

# ABSTRACT

## TriML- XAI - Predictive Model For Pre-Hospital Triage With Transparency

Isuru Madurangee Uyanage

MSc. in Information Technology

**Supervisor:** Prof. Anuradha Jayakody

December 2024

This study develops a Machine Learning model to predict pre-hospital triage levels with enhanced transparency, using clinical data such as vital signs and patients' chief complaints. Accurate triage is essential to prioritize high-risk patients in emergencies. The study evaluates multiple models, including Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and an FCDNN with various embeddings. Voting models were also tested, combining Logistic Regression, Random Forest, and Gradient Boosting. Initial evaluation using a REST API-based test framework showed that each model performed best at specific triage levels. The FCDNN with GloVe embeddings was selected for triage level 1, achieving 90% accuracy, 1.00 recall, and a 0.99 F1-score in identifying high-risk cases. For triage levels 2 and 3, the Random Forest model performed well, with a recall of 0.83, an F1-score of 0.65, and an accuracy of 90%. For triage levels 4 and 5, Logistic Regression was chosen, with a recall of 0.74, an F1-score of 0.81, and an accuracy of 60%. These results led to a novel ensemble approach that enhances the accuracy. The proposed ensemble approach operates through three specialized APIs corresponding to the FCDNN model, Random Forest model, and Logistic Regression model. Upon receiving a user request, the Ensemble API invokes these three APIs to generate individual triage level predictions. These predictions are then combined using a Hard Voting mechanism, where the triage level with the majority vote is selected as the final prediction. If the models produce conflicting results (each predicting a different triage level), the system applies weighted voting, assigning weights to models based on their F1-scores to determine the most appropriate outcome. Transparency is enhanced using SHAP, providing healthcare professionals with insights into feature contributions for each prediction. SHAP values reveal the factors influencing a model's decision, helping doctors and responders understand why a particular triage level was assigned. This added interpretability fosters trust, making the model a reliable tool in critical, time-sensitive medical settings.

**SHAP:** SHapley Additive exPlanations **FCDNN:** Fully Connected Deep Neural Network

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Anuradha Jayakody, for his invaluable guidance, continuous support, and insightful advice throughout this research. His mentorship has been instrumental in shaping this study and has provided me with the direction needed to overcome challenges and make significant progress.

I am also immensely grateful to the two Emergency Consultants from Barwon Health Hospital, Geelong, Australia, for their generous contribution of triage data and their expertise, which greatly enriched this research. Their practical insights and continuous feedback were crucial in ensuring that the study remained aligned with real-world clinical practices. This project would not have been possible without their collaboration and support.

Thank you all for your unwavering encouragement and belief in this work.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
List of Tables .....	vii
List of Figures .....	viii
Chapter 1 Introduction .....	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.1.1 Triage in Emergency Medicine .....	1
1.1.2 Triage Colors .....	3
1.1.3 Pre-Hospital Triage.....	6
Chapter 2 The Research Objectives.....	7
2.1 Research Problem.....	7
2.2 Research Questions .....	8
2.3 Research Objectives .....	8
2.3.1 General Objective .....	8
2.3.2 Specific Research Objectives .....	9
Chapter 3 Literature Review .....	10
3.1 Overview of Pre-Hospital Triage and Machine Learning in Healthcare .....	10
3.1.1 Role of AI in Triage.....	10
3.2 Transparency and Explainability in AI Models.....	12
3.2.1 Importance of Transparency in Healthcare AI .....	12
3.3 Review of Machine Learning Models in Triage Prediction .....	13
3.3.1 The Summary of the models.....	27
Chapter 4 Research Design and Methodology.....	31
4.1 Research Design.....	31
4.2 Methodology .....	33
4.2.1 Data Collection and Preprocessing.....	33
4.2.2 Feature Selection .....	39
4.2.3 Split pre-processed text into Tokens .....	42
4.2.4 Train the text column data using word2Vec Model .....	42
4.2.5 Generating Sentence Embeddings .....	45
4.2.6 Scaling and Combining Features for Machine Learning.....	46
4.2.7 Splitting the Data into Training and Testing Sets.....	47
4.2.8 Selecting the Machine Learning Model for Training .....	48
4.2.9 Analysis of the actual Triage Dataset.....	53

4.2.10 How the Ensemble Approach is used for the Triage Prediction.....	63
4.2.11 Model Testing and Evaluation.....	64
Chapter 5 Results and Discussion.....	68
5.1 Initial Model Development and Evaluation .....	68
5.2 Performance Metrics: F1 Score and Recall.....	69
5.3 API Development and Testing Framework.....	70
5.3.1 Hierarchical Ensemble Model Design.....	71
5.4 Final Performance of the model .....	76
Appendix 1: References .....	78

**List of Tables**

T1.1 ATS Categories .....2



## List of Figures

Figure 1 4.1 Missing data.....	53
Figure 2 4.2 Missing data of the whole dataset .....	55
Figure 3.4.3 Missing data for the required fields.....	55
Figure 4.4.4 Shape of the data frame .....	56
Figure 5.4.5 Systolic Blood Pressure - distribution .....	56
Figure 6.4.6 SpO2 - distribution .....	57
Figure 7.4.7 Pulse rate - distribution.....	57
Figure 8.4.8 Triage level distribution - before SMOTE .....	60
Figure 9.4.9 Triage level distribution - after SMOTE .....	60
Figure 10.5.1 Models' Performance .....	69
Figure 11.5.2 Models' accuracy .....	72
Figure 12.5.3 web application.....	75
Figure 13.5.4 Web application results .....	76