

Development and Integration of an AI-Driven PHP Adapter for Automated Mathematical Question Classification and Assessment: Enhancing Student Profiling and Feedback Mechanisms

M.K.C.P. Nishamali MS23018778

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO SRI LANKA INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

December 2024

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion, it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.
Prof. Anuradha Jayakody
Approved for MSc. Research Project:
MSc. Programme Co-ordinator, SLIIT
Approved for MSc:

DECLARATION

This is to certify that the work is entirely my own and not of any other person unless explicitly acknowledged (including citation of published and unpublished sources). The work has not previously been submitted in any form to the Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology or to any other institution for assessment for any other purpose.

Date:2024.11.12......

ABSTRACT

Development and Integration of an AI-Driven PHP Adapter for Automated Mathematical Question Classification and Assessment: Enhancing Student Profiling and Feedback Mechanisms

M.K.C.P.Nishamali

MSc. in Information Technology

Supervisor: Prof. Anuradha Jayakody

December 2024

The transformative growth of AI can be seen in almost every sector. AI can be a useful application for the educational domain as well. This research aims to combine IT to develop mathematics subjects by leveraging AI in practice mainly introducing Capabilities of Open AI. The primary objective is to create OpenAI API through a specially created PHP adapter to classify mathematical questions into six main themes Sets and Probability, Algebra, Numbers, Geometry, Measurements, and Statistics. This automated AI-driven classification system helps to create online assessments within the blink of an eye. The Integration of Open AI API with a PHP-based framework makes a bridge between AI capabilities and education needs. This framework is the ideal solution for manual and traditional school assessments. This plugin can be implemented in other university-level courses as well. The sample of the adapter plugin is only created and tested for secondary school mathematics classes for grade 10. This AI-driven mathematics classification system is designed to optimize the assessment process by providing additional objectives such as leveraging automated student grading feedback so teachers and students can see the result instantly. Additionally, answers are automatically generated after the assessment, displaying the solving steps that help students identify their mistakes. Meanwhile, this system also predicts the student's mathematics pass mark based on the results of the tests taken from this system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Prof. Anuradha Jayakody who always gives me the best advice, guidance, and encouragement to complete this research success. His feedback enriched the quality of this work. His expertise and willingness to share his knowledge have been helpful from the beginning to the end of the research. It's an honor to work with such a knowledgeable person.

I extend my sincere thanks to Dr. Dilshan De Silva and Dr. Prasanna Sumathipala of SLIIT for their continuous support through this research.

Thank you all for your invaluable contribution and support, which has been essential to the success of this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLA	ARATION	ii
ABSTR	ACT	iii
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE	E OF CONTENTS	v
List of	Figures	viii
List of	Tables	ix
Chapte	r 1 Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Context and Background	5
1.3	Significance of Study	8
1.4	Research Problem	10
1.5	Problem definition	10
1.6	Research Objectives	11
1.7	Thesis Structure and Organization.	13
Chapte	r 2 Literature Review	16
2.1	Introduction	16
2.2 Math	Advantage of Using Automatic Formative Assessment System for Learning ematics	17
2.3	Automated Question Generating using Deep Learning	18
2.4	Automated Generation of Test Questions and Solutions.	19
2.5	QGen: Automated Question Paper Generator	20
2.6 Outco	Automated Question Generation for Repeated Testing to Improve Student Le	_
2.7	Comparison of Automatic Question Generation Techniques	22
2.8 Learn	Elevating education through AI Tutor: Utilizing GPT-4 for Personalized ing	23
2.9	A Framework for an Automated Assessment System	24
2.10 Gener	Specialized Mathematical Solving by a Step-By-Step Expression Chain ration	25
2.11	Efficient Automatic Answer Evaluation System	26
2.12	Chat GPT The Future of AI and Higher Education	27
2.13 Evalu	A Development of AI Connected System with Adaptive Assessments Metholation Methods in the Education Field	

2.14	Re	search Gap Identification	30
2.15	Co	mparison Features of Existing Online Assessment Tools	32
2.1	5.1	Heat Map Analysis - Coverage and Spread of Features	33
2.1	5.2	Character-Based Breakdown of Features Pie Chart Insights	34
2.1	5.3	Bar Chart Investigation- Measuring the Presence of Features by Medi 35	a Type
2.16	Wl	ny Does Mathematics Education Need AI?	36
Chapte	er 3 M	lethodology	39
3.1	Co	ntextualization and contentedness in education	39
3.2	Hie	erarchical Framework for Classification and Evaluation	40
3.2	.1 La	yer 1 - AI-Driven Mathematical Question Classification	41
3.2	.2 Im	portance of Selecting PHP	43
3.2	.3 La	yer 2 - Automated Assessments and Evaluations	45
3.2	.4 La	yer 3- Feedback, Profiling, and Grade Prediction	48
3.2	.5 Da	taset and Algorithm	50
3.3	No	velty of the Framework	51
3.4	Ex	ecution and Technical Integration	51
Chapte	r 4 R	esults	54
4.1	En	hanced Question Classification for Better Accuracy and Efficiency	54
4.2	Stu	ident Profiling and Tailored Assessments Improved	60
4.3	Ac	celerated Feedback and Better Learning Output	62
4.4	G	rade Prediction	66
4.5	Eff	Fects on Teaching Strategies and Classroom Dynamics	71
4.6	Ev	olution and Adapting	73
4.7	Inc	cluding Stakeholder Feedback System Usability	73
Chapte	er 5 D	iscussion	75
5.1	Su	mmary of Key Findings	75
5.2	Int	erpretation of Results	76
5.3	Sy	stem Limitations	76
5.4	Co	ntribution to Knowledge	78
5.5	Fu	ture Research Opportunities	79
Chapte	er 6 C	onclusion	82
6.1	Mo	odification for Broader Implementation	82
6.2	An	nplifying User Experience and Accessibility	83
6.3	Int	egration into the Existing Education System	84

Appendi	, X	91
Reference		88
6.6	Conclusion	86
6.5	Contribution to Knowledge	85
6.4	Problem and Ethical Considerations	84

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Technologies Used in Literature	30
Figure 2.2 Qual Coder for visualization	32
Figure 2.3 Heat Map Analysis	33
Figure 2.4 Pie Chart Insights	34
Figure 2.5 Bar Chart Investigation	35
Figure 2.6 Existing System of Evaluation	38
Figure 3.1 Proposing a Framework for evaluation more effectively	40
Figure 3.2 Proposed Framework	41
Figure 3.3 System interface of question paper generation	46
Figure 3.4 System interface of question paper generation by difficulty level	46
Figure 3.5 Student Grading mechanism	49
Figure 4.1 Trained Data set	54
Figure 4.2 Question Classification	56
Figure 4.3 Grade Prediction model accuracy	56
Figure 4.4 Classification report for Number improvement	57
Figure 4.5 Classification report for Measurements improvement	57
Figure 4.6 Classification Report for Algebra Improvement	57
Figure 4.7 Classification report for geometry Improvement	58
Figure 4.8 Classification Report for Statistic Improvement	58
Figure 4.9 classification for Set and Probability	58
Figure 4.10 Question papers generate under a given specific theme	62
Figure 4.11 Student feedback System 1	63
Figure 4.12 Student feedback System 2	63
Figure 4.13 Student Feedback System 3	64
Figure 4.14 Student Feedback System 4	64
Figure 4.15 Student Feedback System 4	65
Figure 4.16 Grade Prediction by calculated weighted score mechanism	66
Figure 4.17 Prediction grade count	71

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Six themes distributed throughout the entire G.C.E. O/L mathematics paper	4
Γable 1.2 G.C.E. O/L Examination Results 2020.	5
Γable 1.3 G.C.E. O/L Examination Results 2021	5
Γable 1.4 G.C.E. O/L Examination Results 2022.	6
Γable 1.5 G.C.E. O/L Examination Results 2023	(
Table 1.6 NCTM and Sri Lanka Content Standard Comparison	7
Γable 4.1 Grade prediction according to theme score	70
Table 4.2 Students mark and grade prediction	