



# **An Enterprise-Grade EdTech Solution for Real-Time Handwriting Assistance: A Usability and Accessibility Approach**

H.M.P.P.B Herath

(Reg. No.: MS24017756)

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO

SRI LANKA INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

December 2025

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

---

Ms Suriyaa Kumari

Approved for MSc. Research Project:

---

MSc in IT Programme Co-ordinator, SLIIT

Approved for MSc:

---

Head of Graduate Studies, FoC, SLIIT

# DECLARATION

This is to certify that the work is entirely my own and not of any other person, unless explicitly acknowledged (including citation of published and unpublished sources). The work has not previously been submitted in any form to the Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology or to any other institution for assessment for any other purpose.



Sign:

H.M.P.P.B Herath

Date: 03/11/2025

# ABSTRACT

## **An Enterprise-Grade EdTech Solution for Real-Time Handwriting Assistance**

H.M.P.P.B Herath

MSc. in Information Technology (EAD)

**Supervisor:** Mrs Suriyaa Kumari

December 2025

The research presents Fun Letter Tracing as an educational technology system which provides real-time handwriting assistance for primary school students. The system uses React for its browser-based front end and Flask for its lightweight scoring service to create a practice loop that analyzes user input after tracing followed by tip generation and retry functionality. The system evaluates handwriting quality through a 50-dimensional feature vector which includes smoothness and consistency and spatial spread and completeness and temporal cues and uses Random Forest and small FFNN models with letter-specific geometric checks based on keypoints and pixel corridors. The system provides a limited API set which includes `/analyze` and `/letter-info/<letter>` and `/progress-summary` and `/health` endpoints to make school integration easier while maintaining privacy protection through default operation. The development team focused on creating an accessible system which follows WCAG 2.2 standards through its design of large touch areas and visible focus indicators and non-color based alerts and TTS functionality and simple language for children. The evaluation strategy combines three assessment methods which include expert walkthroughs and controlled testing and classroom-based trials involving 30-50 students and 10-15 teachers. The evaluation uses both quantitative metrics including SUS scores and completion rates and time-to-first-success and latency performance at 150 ms or below and expert rubric assessments and qualitative feedback from teachers and students. The thesis presents detailed information about system architecture and security measures and accessibility standards and testing procedures for school implementation.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would also like to acknowledge my heartfelt thanks to Ms. Suriyaa Kumari as she was the one who remained with me in this research process despite everything. Her support, wise advice and consistent encouragement made me remain focused and motivated throughout.

I would also like to thank the research panel and their constructive comments that enhanced this work, as well as the program coordinators who ensured the constant progress and provided the necessary help timely when it was necessary. I want to cast my humble gratitude to the lecturers and my fellow students of SLIIT whose views, discussions and encouragement contributed significantly to this project.

Special credit should be given to the teachers and Parents who invested some time in order to engage and contribute their experiences. They were very instrumental in assisting me realize what the actual usability and accessibility requirements were, which represented the driving force behind the creation of this system.

Lastly, I would like to mention the open-source community. This project was made possible because of their tools, libraries and common knowledge and was able to experiment, prototype and refine ideas in a very short time which brought this project into life in a meaningful way.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
List of Figures .....	xv
List of Tables .....	xvi
List of Abbreviations .....	xviii
Chapter 1 Introduction .....	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Problem Statement .....	2
1.3 Aim.....	3
1.4 Objectives.....	3
1.5 Scope and Assumptions .....	3
1.6 Significance and Contributions .....	4
1.7 Conceptual Framing and Design Rationale .....	5
1.8 Thesis Structure.....	6
Chapter 2 Literature Review .....	8
2.1 Overview and scope .....	8

2.2 Educational significance and prevalence .....	8
2.3 Motor control foundations for feedback design .....	8
2.4 Feedback in skill acquisition: concurrent vs. terminal .....	9
2.5 Online handwriting recognition: models and datasets .....	10
2.6 Real-time handwriting coaching in EdTech.....	11
2.7 Accessibility and child–computer interaction (CCI).....	12
2.8 Signal processing & alignment for online strokes (practical underpinnings) .....	13
2.9 Universal Design for Learning (UDL) & multimedia principles (design glue).....	13
2.10 Synthesis: gaps and design implications your system addresses .....	14
Chapter 3 Methodology and Study Design.....	15
3.1 Research Design.....	15
3.2 Participants, Sampling, and Context .....	16
3.3 Experimental Factors and Conditions .....	16
3.4 Tasks and Procedure.....	18
3.5 Measures and Instruments .....	19
3.6 Data Collection and Instrumentation.....	20
3.7 Analysis Plan.....	21
3.8 Power and Validity Considerations.....	22
3.9 Accessibility and Classroom Reliability: Operational Checks .....	22
3.10 Governance, Consent, and Child-Safety Protocol.....	23

3.11 Deliverables from the Methodology .....	23
Chapter 4 System Architecture (Enterprise-Grade).....	24
4.1 Component Overview .....	24
4.2 API Contracts and Data Schemas.....	26
4.2.1 POST /analyze (core scoring call).....	27
4.2.2 GET /letter-info/<letter> (letter-aware guidance).....	28
4.2.3 POST /progress-summary (teacher dashboards) .....	28
4.3 AI Feedback Pipeline (Runtime).....	29
4.3.1 Feature extraction (50-D, lightweight) .....	30
4.3.2 Model inference (compact and explainable) .....	30
4.3.4 Final score fusion (banding + one tip).....	31
4.4 Front-End State, Accessibility, and UX Hooks.....	31
4.5 Performance, Reliability, and Observability .....	32
4.5.1 Latency budget and resource profile .....	32
4.5.2 Reliability paths and graceful degradation .....	33
4.5.3 Optimizations & back-pressure .....	33
4.6 Security and Data Protection.....	33
4.7 Deployment Topology (School-Ready) .....	34
4.8 Deep-Dive: Data Contracts and Validation.....	35
4.8.1 Request schema (/analyze) .....	36

4.8.2 Response schema (analysis) .....	36
4.8.3 Letter guidance (/letter-info/<letter>).....	36
4.8.4 Progress summaries (/progress-summary).....	36
4.9 The Feedback Engine: Tip Selection & Message Design .....	37
4.9.1 Single-tip policy (cognitive load).....	37
4.9.2 Safety rails and tone .....	37
4.10 Accessibility in the Runtime Loop.....	38
4.11 Performance Engineering: What Makes “Feels Instant.”.....	39
4.11.1 Why 50-D features?.....	39
4.11.3 Throughput thinking.....	39
4.12 Observability and Classroom Diagnostics .....	39
4.12.1 What to log .....	39
4.12.2 What teachers need.....	40
4.12.3 What IT needs.....	40
4.13 Security Posture, Privacy Mechanics, and Consent .....	40
4.14 Deployment & Delivery: Practical Notes for Schools .....	40
4.15 Test Strategy.....	41
4.15.1 Unit tests.....	41
4.15.2 Integration tests.....	41
4.15.3 Load & soak tests .....	42

4.15.4 Accessibility audits.....	42
4.15.5 Cross-device/cross-browser.....	42
4.16 Architecture Rationale: Why This Works for Kids <i>and</i> Schools.....	42
4.17 Future-Proofing: Extensibility Points (Without Breaking Latency) .....	43
4.18 Chapter Summary.....	43
Chapter 5 User Interface and Accessibility .....	44
5.1 Design Goals and Principles .....	44
5.2 Personas, Contexts, and Key Tasks.....	45
5.3 Information Architecture and Navigation .....	46
5.4 Core Interaction Patterns.....	46
5.4.1 Letter Selection.....	46
5.4.2 Tracing and Feedback Loop .....	46
5.5 Visual Design, Motion, and Tone .....	47
5.6 Accessibility Strategy (WCAG 2.2—Aligned).....	48
5.6.1 Target Size and Spacing .....	48
5.6.2 Focus Visibility and Appearance.....	48
5.6.3 Color and Contrast.....	48
5.6.4 Screen Readers and Semantics .....	49
5.6.5 Audio Guidance (Optional, Non-Blocking) .....	49
5.7 Content, Microcopy, and Tone.....	50

5.8 Inclusive Feedback Visuals.....	51
5.9 Input Modalities and Error Tolerance .....	51
5.10 Audio and Multimodal Support .....	51
5.11 Classroom Readiness and Performance UX.....	52
5.12 Child-UX Rationale and Evidence (Quick Guide).....	53
5.13 Accessibility Test Plan (What You Verify, How You Pass) .....	53
5.14 Implementation Details that Make the Difference .....	54
5.15 Extended Rationale: Child–Computer Interaction (CCI).....	55
5.16 From Principles to Pixels: What to Build (and Where to Put It) .....	55
Chapter 6 Results and Discussion.....	56
6.1 Introduction .....	56
6.2 Evaluation Method Overview .....	56
6.3 Quick Usability and Stability Results .....	57
6.4 Usability Results (Likert Scale Analysis) .....	58
6.4 Accessibility Evaluation Results.....	60
6.6 Classroom Fit and Engagement .....	61
6.7 Feature Usage Observations.....	62
6.8 Qualitative Feedback from Teachers.....	62
6.9 Discussion: Implications of the Results .....	63
6.10 Chapter Summary.....	63

Chapter 7 Security, Privacy, and Compliance .....	65
7.1 Design Principles (what we optimize for).....	65
7.2 Data Flow & Minimization (child-safe defaults) .....	65
7.3 Application Security (what protects the service) .....	66
7.4 Identity & Access (who sees what).....	66
7.5 Privacy Governance (how we stay compliant in practice).....	66
7.6 Observability, Reliability & Incident Readiness.....	67
7.7 Compliance-at-a-Glance (what boxes we tick) .....	67
Chapter 8 Evidence-Led Evaluation & Reporting .....	69
8.1 Participants, Tasks, and Data (Simulated) .....	69
8.2 Usability Outcomes .....	70
8.3 Accessibility Findings .....	71
8.4 Learning Outcomes .....	71
8.5 Model Validity: Agreement With Expert Ratings .....	72
8.6 Technical Performance and Reliability .....	73
8.7 Results by UI Variant and Subgroups .....	74
8.8 Qualitative Observations (Teachers & Children).....	75
8.9 Error Analysis and Robustness .....	75
8.10 Summary of Findings .....	75
8.11 Fine-Grained Movement Quality Gains.....	76

8.12 Letter-Aware Guidance: What Tips Fired Most?.....	76
8.13 Accessibility Use in the Wild: TTS and Target Sizes.....	77
8.14 Error Recovery That Doesn't Derail Class .....	77
8.15 Latency, Logging, and "Feels Instant.".....	78
8.16 Teacher Efficiency & Progress Summaries .....	78
8.17 Variant & Subgroup Effects (Deeper Cut).....	79
8.18 Ablation Snapshot: "One Tip" vs "Many Hints." .....	79
8.19 Robustness & Classroom-Proofing .....	79
Chapter 9 — Limitations and Future Work .....	80
9.1 Limitations .....	80
9.1.1 Data and Evaluation.....	80
9.1.2 Modeling and Feedback.....	80
9.1.3 Usability & Accessibility in the Wild.....	81
9.1.4 Technical & Operational .....	81
9.1.5 Privacy, Ethics, and Governance .....	82
9.1.6 External Validity & Classroom Fit.....	82
9.2 Threats to Validity (and Mitigations).....	82
9.3 Future Work .....	83
9.3.1 Data & Labeling at Scale.....	83
9.3.2 Algorithms & Personalization .....	83

9.3.3 Accessibility & Inclusivity .....	84
9.3.4 Teacher Tools & Content .....	84
9.3.5 Study Designs & Evidence .....	85
9.3.6 Privacy, Security, and Compliance.....	85
9.3.7 Operations & Scale .....	85
9.4 Limitations → Mitigations (at a glance) .....	86
9.5 Closing Remark.....	86
Chapter 10 Conclusion.....	87
10.1 Reframing the problem through usability and accessibility.....	87
10.2 What was built and why it matters .....	87
10.3 Synthesis of outcomes (what the data says).....	88
10.4 Usability & accessibility as first-class requirements.....	88
10.5 Trust and interpretability .....	89
10.6 Operational readiness for schools .....	89
10.7 Stakeholder impact.....	89
10.8 Generalizable design patterns.....	90
10.9 Limitations that guide next steps.....	90
10.10 Strategic roadmap with a usability & accessibility lens.....	90
10.11 Final statement .....	91
References.....	92

Appendix.....	94
a.    API & Data Schemas .....	94
Appendix 1.1 — /analyze Request JSON Schema (draft).....	94
Appendix 1.2 — /analyze Response JSON Schema (draft) .....	94
Appendix 1.3 — Other Endpoints (contract summaries) .....	94
b.    Score Fusion & Band Thresholds .....	94
c.    UI/UX & Questionnaire.....	94
Appendix 3.1 — Home Page.....	94
Appendix 3.2 — Practice Letters .....	95
Appendix 3.1 — Dashboard .....	96
Appendix 3.2 — Child-Friendly login .....	97
Appendix 3.3 — Result Report .....	98
Appendix 3.3 — Teacher questionnaire .....	98

# List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Data Flow Diagram.....	2
Figure 4-1 System Diagram.....	25
Figure 4-2 Complete Request-Response Cycle.....	26
Figure 4-3 Real-Time Feedback System Workflow.....	29
Figure 4-4: Flow Diagram.....	35
Figure 6-1:Yes/No Usability Validation Results.....	58
Figure 6-2 : Usability Rating.....	59
Figure 6-3: Accessibility rating.....	61
Figure 11-1 Home Page.....	94
Figure 11-2 Practice letter dashboard.....	95
Figure 11-3 Dashboard report.....	96
Figure 11-4: Login Component.....	97
Figure 11-5: Result Report.....	98

# List of Tables

Table 1-1 Usability & Accessibility design commitments (quick map).....	7
Table 2-1 Motor-skill variables commonly used in handwriting analytics .....	9
Table 2-2 Feedback design patterns for handwriting coaching .....	10
Table 2-3 Representative online handwriting datasets .....	11
Table 2-4 Accessibility heuristics mapped to evidence and UI tactics.....	12
Table 3-1 Study Conditions and Primary Outcomes .....	18
Table 3-2 Metrics and Targets .....	20
Table 4-1 Core endpoints (summary) .....	29
Table 4-2 Accessibility-driven UI defaults.....	32
Table 4-3 Non-functional requirements (NFRs).....	33
Table 4-4 Error taxonomy and child-friendly remediation.....	37
Table 4-5 Tip routing examples.....	38
Table 5-1 Primary Personas and Needs .....	45
Table 5-2 Key Interaction States and UI Responses.....	46
Table 5-3 WCAG 2.2 Mapping (Key Criteria → Implementation).....	49
Table 5-4 Microcopy Patterns.....	50
Table 5-5 Error Prevention and Recovery .....	51
Table 5-6 Audio Prompt Defaults.....	52

Table 5-7 Accessibility Verification Matrix .....	53
Table 6-1: Yes/No Usability Validation Results .....	57
Table 6-2: usability ratings table.....	59
Table 6-3: Accessibility Rating .....	60
Table 7-1 Controls at a Glance .....	68
Table 8-1 Usability metrics (teachers & children).....	70
Table 8-2 Accessibility checklist & usage outcomes .....	71
Table 8-3 Learning improvement (Day 1 → Day 7, 48 children) .....	71
Table 8-4 Human–AI agreement .....	72
Table 8-5 Backend performance (simulate load).....	73
Table 8-6 Variant & subgroup comparisons ( $\Delta$ Accessible A – Baseline B).....	74
Table 8-7 Dimension-level improvement (0–1 scale, n=48, Day 1 → Day 7).....	76
Table 8-8 Latency snapshots from logs (simulated 10k calls).....	78
Table 8-9 Example progress summary (class view, simulated).....	78
Table 9-1 Current Limitations and Practical Next Steps .....	86

# List of Abbreviations

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>AI</b>	Artificial Intelligence
<b>API</b>	Application Programming Interface
<b>CDN</b>	Content Delivery Network
<b>E2E</b>	End-to-End
<b>EdTech</b>	Educational Technology
<b>IT</b>	Information Technology
<b>JSON</b>	JavaScript Object Notation
<b>KPI</b>	Key Performance Indicator
<b>KR</b>	Knowledge of Results
<b>KP</b>	Knowledge of Performance
<b>LLM</b>	Large Language Model (optional future AI extension)
<b>LRU</b>	Least Recently Used (caching policy)
<b>ML</b>	Machine Learning
<b>NFR</b>	Non-Functional Requirement
<b>OS</b>	Operating System
<b>p95</b>	95th Percentile (latency measure)
<b>PII</b>	Personally Identifiable Information
<b>RF</b>	Random Forest (machine learning model)
<b>SLO</b>	Service-Level Objective
<b>SUS</b>	System Usability Scale
<b>SPARC</b>	Spectral Arc Length (smoothness index in movement analysis)
<b>TTS</b>	Text-to-Speech